arXiv:1606.00319v1 [math.FA] 1 Jun 2016

BANACH ENVELOPES IN SYMMETRIC SPACES OF MEASURABLE OPERATORS

M.M. CZERWIŃSKA AND A. KAMIŃSKA

Abstract. We study Banach envelopes for commutative symmetric sequence or function spaces, and noncommutative symmetric spaces of measurable operators. We characterize the class (HC) of quasi-normed symmetric sequence or function spaces E for which their Banach envelopes $\widehat{E}$ are also symmetric spaces. The class of symmetric spaces satisfying (HC) contains but is not limited to order continuous spaces. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic, semifinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, σ-finite trace τ and E be as symmetric function space on $[0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$ or symmetric sequence space. We compute Banach envelope norms on $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and C_E for any quasi-normed symmetric space E. Then we show under assumption that $E \in (HC)$ that the Banach envelope $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$ of $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is equal to $\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ isometrically. We also prove the analogous result for unitary matrix spaces C_E.

1. Preliminaries

The concept of the Banach envelope was independently introduced by Peetre [26] in 1974, and Shapiro [28] in 1977. Since then this concept have been studied by many authors in different spaces and settings. In 1977, Kalton [12] gave an isomorphic and isometric representation of the Banach envelop of non-locally convex Orlicz spaces. This result was later generalized by Drewnowski in [9] to Musielak-Orlicz spaces. In [13], Kalton obtained the similar result in Orlicz sequence spaces ℓ_F and applied it to studies of isomorphic copies of l_p, $0 < p < \infty$, in non-locally convex Orlicz spaces. This also inspired further studies in [10], where a characterization of the Banach envelop of non-locally convex Orlicz spaces was obtained without the assumption of separability.

In the eighties Cwikel and Fefferman in [3, 4] computed the Banach envelop semi-norm in the weak L^1 space called also Marcinkiewicz space, and showed that the space equipped with this semi-norm is not complete. In 2008, Kalton and Sukochev [17] calculated the Banach envelop norm in the sequence Marcinkiewicz space $\ell_{1,\infty}$, and very recently more studies of this subject was continued by Pietsch in [26].

It is also worth to notice that in [14], Kalton proved that if X is a quasi-Banach space with separating dual then its Banach envelope has no type p for any $p > 1$. It follows that for instance the spaces l_p, L_p for $1 < p < \infty$, or the Orlicz spaces ℓ_φ, L_φ with the lower Orlicz-Matuszewska index $\alpha_\varphi > 1$, cannot be Banach envelops of X. In the papers [19, 18] there have been given general descriptions of Banach envelopes for symmetric function spaces whose cones of decreasing or non-negative elements are 1-concave. The latter two results have been applied for finding characterization of Banach envelops in Orlicz-Lorentz and weighted Cesáro spaces, respectively. Finally in [20] there is a description of the Banach envelope of a Marcinkiewicz class in terms of Hardy-Littlewood submajorization.

In this note we will study the Banach envelop of quasi-normed non-commutative spaces of measurable operators and the unitary matrix spaces [1, 6, 7, 8]. Our results, among others, will

Key words and phrases. Symmetric spaces of measurable operators, noncommutative function spaces, unitary matrix spaces, Banach envelopes, Mackey completion.

2010 subject classification 46B20, 46B28, 46B40, 46E30, 46L52, 47L20.

generalize and extend the characterization given by Nawrocki in [24], where he investigated the separable unitary matrix spaces.

Recall that a *quasi-normed space* is a vector space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ over a field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a function $\|\cdot\|_X : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(i) $\|x\|_X > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$,
(ii) $\|\alpha x\|_X = |\alpha| \, \|x\|_X$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in X$, and
(iii) there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|x + y\|_X \leq C \, (\|x\|_X + \|y\|_X)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Denoting by $\mathrm{co}(B_X)$ the convex hull of the unit ball B_X in X, let $\|x\|_{\widehat{X}} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x/\lambda \in \mathrm{co}(B_X)\}$ be the Minkowski functional of B_X. Clearly $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{X}}$ is a semi-norm, and if the dual X^* separates the points of X, that is for every $0 \neq x \in X$ there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that $x^*(x) \neq 0$, it is in fact a norm. Setting $N = \{x \in X : \|x\|_{\widehat{X}} = 0\}$, X/N is a normed linear space, whose completion is called the *Banach envelope* $\widehat{X}$ or $X^\wedge$ of X [16].

Obviously $\|x\|_{\widehat{X}} \leq \|x\|_X$ for any $x \in X$, and so the inclusion map $i : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \to (X, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{X}})$ is continuous with its range dense in $\widehat{X}$. Moreover, in view of the relation that $z \in \mathrm{co}(B_X)$ if and only if $\|z\|_{\widehat{X}} \leq 1$, we have

$$\|x^*\| = \sup_{\|z\|_X \leq 1} |x^*(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathrm{co}(B_X)} |x^*(z)| = \sup_{\|z\|_{\widehat{X}} \leq 1} |x^*(z)|. \tag{1.1}$$

Thus any $x^* \in X^*$ is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{X}})$ as well and by the density it can be extended to $\widehat{X}$ with preservation of the norm. On the other hand, if $x^* \in \widehat{X}^*$ then its restriction to X is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$.

Another way of introducing $\widehat{X}$ is through the canonical embedding J of X into its second dual X^{**}, defined as usual by $Jx(x^*) = x^*(x)$. If we assume that X^* separates points in X then J is injective. Moreover, $\|J\| = \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} \|Jx\|_{X^{**}} = \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} |x^*(x)| \leq 1$. Since the Hahn-Banach theorem is usually not satisfied in the spaces that are not locally convex, we do not have that $\|J\| = 1$, so J is not isometry. The Banach envelope of X can be taken to be the closure in X^{**} of the image of X under J. In fact since $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{X}}$ is a norm, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem,

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{X}} = \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} |x^*(x)| = \|Jx\|_{X^{**}}.$$

Therefore $\widehat{X} = \overline{J(X)}^{X^{**}}$ [16].

The following very useful formula of the Banach envelope norm was introduced by Peetre in [26]. For $x \in X$, set

$$\|x\|^* = \inf\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_X : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = x, \ x_i \in X, \ n \in \mathbb{N}\Big\}.$$

It is standard to check that $\|\cdot\|^*$ is a seminorm on X and

$$\|x\|^* \leq \|x\|_X \quad \text{for every} \quad x \in X. \tag{1.2}$$

In fact $\|\cdot\|^*$ is the strongest seminorm for which (1.2) holds. That is, if $\|\cdot\|^0$ is another seminorm on X satisfying $\|x\|^0 \leq \|x\|_X$ for all $x \in X$, then $\|x\|^0 \leq \|x\|^*$ for all $x \in X$. Indeed, if $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $x_i \in X$, then $\|x\|^0 = \|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|^0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_X$, and so $\|x\|^0 \leq \|x\|^*$. Thus in particular,

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{X}} \leq \|x\|^*, \quad x \in X. \tag{1.3}$$

Applying (1.2) and the defintion of $\|\cdot\|^*$ one can easily show that the sets of bounded functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|^*)$ and on $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ coincide. Therefore for any $x^* \in (X, \|\cdot\|_X)^* = (X, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{X}})^* =$

$(X, \|\cdot\|^*)^*$, in view of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) we get

$$\|x^*\| = \sup_{\|x\|_X \le 1} |x^*(x)| = \sup_{\|x\|^* \le 1} |x^*(x)| = \sup_{\|x\|_{\widehat{X}} \le 1} |x^*(x)|.$$

Hence by the Hahn-Banach theorem,

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{X}} = \sup_{\|x^*\| \le 1} |x^*(x)| = \|x\|^*.$$

For $0 < p < 1$, the Banach envelope of the function space $L_p[0,1]$ is trivial $\{0\}$, while of the sequence space ℓ_p is equal to ℓ_1 [16].

In this note we investigate Banach envelopes of quasi-normed symmetric function and sequence spaces E, of unitary matrix spaces C_E, and of noncommutative spaces $E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ of τ-measurable operators corresponding to E and associated to a non-atomic von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a normal, faithful, σ-finite trace τ. In the first part of the manuscript we focus on the commutative case. We define the property (HC) on E, which is necessary and sufficient for the Banach envelope $\widehat{E}$ to maintain the sequence or function character of E. We further show that if E is a symmetric spaces with property (HC) then its Banach envelope $\widehat{E}$ is also a symmetric space. The class of symmetric spaces satisfying (HC) is quite large, as it contains but is not restricted to all order continuous spaces. In the second part of the paper we characterize Banach envelopes of noncommutative spaces $E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and C_E in terms of the Banach envelope of E. We compute the Banach envelope norms on $E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and C_E, without any extra assumptions on the quasi-normed symmetric function or sequence spaces E. For $E \in (HC)$, we obtain the complete characterizations of Banach envelopes of $E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ or C_E, that is we show that $\left(\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}\right) = \left(\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau), \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{C_E}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{C_E}}\right) = \left(C_{\widehat{E}}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}}\right)$. Analogous result has been shown for the unitary matrix spaces C_E in [24], where E was assumed to be weakly-separable quasi-normed symmetric sequence space. Our claim for noncommutative symmetric sequence spaces will yield a stronger version of the result in [24].

Throughout the paper $\mathcal{M}$ will stand for a semi-finite von Neumann algebra acting on the Hibert space H, with a normal, faithful, semi-finite trace τ. The identity on $\mathcal{M}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{1}$ and the lattice of projections in $\mathcal{M}$ by $P(\mathcal{M})$. Non-zero projection $p \in P(\mathcal{M})$ is called *minimal* if $q \in P(\mathcal{M})$ and $q \le p$ imply that $q = p$ or $q = 0$. The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is called *non-atomic* if it has no minimal orthogonal projections. We say that the trace τ on $\mathcal{M}$ is *σ-finite* if there exists a sequence $\{p_n\} \subseteq P(\mathcal{M})$ such that $p_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$ and $\tau(p_n) < \infty$. A closed and densely defined operator x with domain $D(x)$ is called *affiliated* with $\mathcal{M}$ whenever $ux = xu$ for all unitary operators $u \in \mathcal{M}'$. An operator x is *called τ-measurable*, if x is affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ and its spectral projection $e^{|x|}(\cdot)$ satisfies $\tau(e^{|x|}(\lambda,\infty)) < \infty$, for some $\lambda > 0$. The set $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ of all τ-measurable operators forms a $*$-algebra under the strong sum and strong multiplication operations. For a closed and densely defined operator x, the *support projection* is given by $s(x) = e^{|x|}(0,\infty)$ and the *null projection* by $n(x) = \mathbf{1} - s(x) = e^{|x|}\{0\}$.

For $x \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, the function

$$\mu(t,x) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \tau(e^{|x|}(s,\infty)) \le t\}, \quad t \ge 0,$$

is called a *generalized singular value function* or *decreasing rearrangement* of x. The distribution function of x at $s \ge 0$ is given by $d(s,x) = \tau(e^{|x|}(s,\infty))$. We set $\mu(\infty,x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mu(t,x)$, $x \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Observe that $\mu(t,x) = 0$ for all $t \ge \tau(\mathbf{1})$. Hence we will consider $\mu(\cdot,x)$ as a function on $[0,\tau(\mathbf{1}))$.

A measurable operator x is called *positive* if $\langle x\xi,\xi\rangle \ge 0$ for all $\xi \in D(x)$. The partial order in the algebra of self-adjoint operators generated by the cone of positive operators will be denoted by $\le$.

Let I denote the interval $[0,\tau(\mathbf{1}))$, $\tau(\mathbf{1}) \le \infty$, with the Lebesgue measure m, or the set $\mathbb{N}$ with the counting measure m. Let $L_0(I)$ be a collection of all complex-valued measurable functions

(resp. sequences) whenever $I = [0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$ (resp. $I = \mathbb{N}$). For $f \in L_0(I)$, the *support* of f, that is the set of all $t \in I$ for which $f(t) \neq 0$, will be denoted by $\operatorname{supp} f$.

Denote by $\left(L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M},\tau)}\right)$ the Banach space of all τ-integrable operators, that is the space of all $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for which $\|x\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \tau(|x|) < \infty$. The space $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) + \mathcal{M}$ is the Banach space of all measurable operators x for which $\mu(x) \in L_1 + L_\infty$, where $L_1 = L_1[0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$ and $L_\infty = L_\infty[0, \tau(\mathbf{1})) \subseteq L_0 = L_0[0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$ are the spaces of integrable and bounded functions on $[0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$, respectively.

In the commutative case, that is when $\mathcal{M}$ is identified with L_∞ with the trace τ given by integration, the algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ coincides with the algebra of all functions $f \in L_0$ which are finite except of the sets of finite measure. The singular value function $\mu(f)$ coincides then with the decreasing rearrangement of a function f commonly denoted in the literature by f^* [2]. Moreover, the distribution function for the commutative case is given by $d(s, f) = m\{t \geq 0 : |f|(t) > s\}$, $s \geq 0$.

In the case of discrete measure, for $f = \{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in L_0(\mathbb{N})$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n) = 0$, $\mu(t, f)$ is a finite and countably valued function on $[0, \infty)$. In this case we will identify its decreasing rearrangement $\mu(f)$ with the sequence $\{\mu(n-1, f)\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

A quasi-normed space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is called a *symmetric space* if $E \subseteq L_0(I)$ and for any $f \in L_0(I)$, $g \in E$, by $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$ it follows that $f \in E$ and $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$. When $I = [0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$, $\tau(\mathbf{1}) \leq \infty$, (resp. $I = \mathbb{N}$) a quasi-normed space E will be called a quasi-normed *function* (resp. *sequence*) space. If $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a normed symmetric space it is well known that $(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(I) \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow (L_1 + L_\infty)(I)$ [22]. In particular when $I = \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow \ell_\infty$. Notice also that in this case the condition $E \subseteq c_0$ is equivalent to $E \neq \ell_\infty$.

Recall that $f \in E$ is called order continuous whenever for any $f_n \downarrow 0$ a.e. with $0 \leq f_n \leq f$ we have $\|f_n\|_E \downarrow 0$. If all elements of E are order continuous then the space E is said to be *order continuous*.

Given a symmetric quasi-normed function space $E \subseteq L_0[0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$, the *symmetric space of measurable operators* is defined as

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \ \mu(x) \in E\}.$$

Similarly, if E is a quasi-normed symmetric sequence space such that $E \subseteq c_0$, then the *unitary matrix space* is defined by

$$C_E = \{x \in K(H) : S(x) \in E\},$$

where $K(H)$ is the ideal of all compact operators on a Hilbert space H and $S(x) = \{S_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of singular numbers of an operator x.

If $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach symmetric space then $\|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|\mu(x)\|_E$ or $\|x\|_{C_E} = \|S(x)\|_E$ is a norm and the spaces $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ or C_E equipped with these norms are complete [17].

Moreover, the following holds.

Lemma 1.1. [29, Lemma 6] *Let E be a symmetric quasi-normed function space or a symmetric quasi-normed sequence space such that $E \subseteq c_0$. Then the functional $\|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|\mu(x)\|_E$, $x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, or $\|x\|_{C_E} = \|S(x)\|_E$, $x \in C_E$, is a quasi-norm.*

For more information on the noncommutative symmetric spaces we refer the reader to [6, 8, 23], on operator algebras to [30], and on Banach latices to [2, 22].

Before we proceed to the main results of the paper, we state a few facts for easy reference.

Lemma 1.2. [5, Corollary 1.6] *Let $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $p \in P(\mathcal{M})$. If $px = xp = 0$ and $0 \leq C \leq \mu(\infty, x)$ then $\mu(x + Cp) = \mu(x)$.*

Proposition 1.3. [5, Corollary 1.10] *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a σ-finite trace τ, $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and $|x| \geq \mu(\infty, x)s(x)$. Denote by $p = s(|x| - \mu(\infty, x)s(x))$ and define projection $q \in P(\mathcal{M})$ in the following way.*

(i) *If* $\tau(s(x)) < \infty$ *set* $q = \mathbf{1}$.
(ii) *If* $\tau(s(x)) = \infty$ *and* $\tau(p) < \infty$, *set* $q = s(x)$.
(iii) *If* $\tau(p) = \infty$, *set* $q = p$.

Then there exist a non-atomic commutative von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{N} \subseteq q\mathcal{M}q$ *and a unital* $*$*-isomorphism* V *acting from the* $*$*-algebra* $S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m)$ *into the* $*$*-algebra* $S(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, *such that*

$$V\mu(x) = |x|\, q \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(Vf) = \mu(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m).$$

Corollary 1.4. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a* σ*-finite trace* τ *and* $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ *and* $r = e^{|x|}(\mu(\infty, x), \infty)$. *Set* $q = \mathbf{1}$ *whenever* $\tau(r) < \infty$, *and* $q = r$ *if* $\tau(r) = \infty$.

Then there exist a non-atomic commutative von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{N} \subseteq q\mathcal{M}q$ *and a unital* $*$*-isomorphism* V *acting from the* $*$*-algebra* $S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m)$ *into the* $*$*-algebra* $S(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, *such that*

$$V\mu(x) = |x|\, r + \mu(\infty, x) V\chi_{[\tau(r), \infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(Vf) = \mu(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m).$$

Proof. Consider the operator $x_0 = |x|\, r$, where we have $s(x_0) = r$ and $x_0 \geq \mu(\infty, x)s(x_0)$. Moreover, $\mu(x_0) = \mu(x)\chi_{[0, \tau(r))}$ [8]. If $\tau(r) < \infty$, then $\mu(\infty, x_0) = 0$. Otherwise $\mu(x_0) = \mu(x)$. In either case $x_0 \geq \mu(\infty, x_0)s(x_0)$. Moreover, $p = s(x_0 - \mu(\infty, x_0)s(x_0)) = e^{x_0}(\mu(\infty, x_0), \infty) = e^{x}(\mu(\infty, x), \infty) = r$. If $\tau(r) = \infty$ set $q = p = r$, and if $\tau(r) < \infty$, $q = \mathbf{1}$. By Proposition 1.3 (i) and (iii) applied to x_0 there exist a non-atomic commutative von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{N} \subseteq q\mathcal{M}q$ and a unital $*$-isomorphism V acting from the $*$-algebra $S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m)$ into the $*$-algebra $S(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, such that

$$V\mu(x_0) = x_0 q \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(Vf) = \mu(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m).$$

In case of $\tau(r) = \infty$, $\mu(x) = \mu(x_0)$ and $q = r$, and therefore $V\mu(x) = x_0 r = |x|\, r$.

Consider now the case when $\tau(r) = \tau(e^{|x|}(\mu(\infty, x), \infty)) < \infty$ with $q = \mathbf{1}$. Since $\mu(\infty, x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \tau(e^{|x|}(s, \infty)) < \infty\}$, we have that $\tau(e^{|x|}(s, \infty)) = \infty$ for all $s \in [0, \mu(\infty, x))$. Recalling the definition of $\mu(t, x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \tau(e^{|x|}(s, \infty)) \leq t\}$, it is easy to observe that $\mu(t, x) = \mu(\infty, x)$ for all $t \geq \tau(e^{|x|}(\mu(\infty, x), \infty)) = \tau(r)$. Hence

$$\mu(x) = \mu(x)\chi_{[0, \tau(r))} + \mu(\infty, x)\chi_{[\tau(r), \infty)} = \mu(x_0) + \mu(\infty, x)\chi_{[\tau(r), \infty)},$$

and

$$V\mu(x) = V\mu(x_0) + \mu(\infty, x)V\chi_{[\tau(r), \infty)} = x_0 + \mu(\infty, x)V\chi_{[\tau(r), \infty)} = |x|\, r + \mu(\infty, x)V\chi_{[\tau(r), \infty)}.$$

□

2. Main Results

Throughout the remainder of the paper E will stand for quasi-normed space on I whose dual E^* separates points in E.

In view of Lemma 1.1, the pairs $(E(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)})$ and $(C_E, \|\cdot\|_{C_E})$ are quasi-normed spaces. The goal of this article is to find their Banach envelops.

Recall that given two σ-finite measure spaces (Ω_1, μ_1) and (Ω_2, μ_2) we call a map σ from Ω_1 into Ω_2 a measure preserving transformation whenever for μ_2-measurable subset E of Ω_2, the set $\sigma^{-1}[E] = \{u \in \Omega_1 : \sigma(u) \in E\}$ is a μ_1-measurable subset of Ω_1 and $\mu_1(\sigma^{-1}[E]) = \mu_2(E)$ [2, 22].

It was shown in [19] that given a symmetric quasi-normed function space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ it follows that $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is a symmetric normed space. We will prove analogous result for symmetric quasi-normed sequence spaces.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ *is a quasi-normed symmetric sequence space and* $E \subseteq c_0$ *then* $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ *is a normed symmetric sequence space.*

Proof. It requires standard argument to show that if $f \in L_0(\mathbb{N})$, $g \in (E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$, and $|f| \le |g|$ then $f \in (E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ and $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Therefore it suffices to prove that for two non-negative sequences $f = (f(k))$, $g = (g(k)) \in E$ with $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ we have $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|g\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq E$ be such that $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_E \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon$. Since $0 \le g \le \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|$, we can always find sequences h_i such that $g = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$, $\operatorname{supp} h_i \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g$, and $0 \le h_i \le |g_i|$. Since also $\sum_{i=1}^n \|h_i\|_E \le \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_E \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon$ we can assume without loss of generality that $g_i \ge 0$ and $\operatorname{supp} g_i \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g$. Since f and g have the same decreasing rearrangements and $f, g \in c_0$, their supports must have the same measure and the following two cases hold.

Case 1^0. Let $m(\operatorname{supp} g) = m(\operatorname{supp} f) < \infty$. Then there exists a bijective transformation $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f = g \circ \sigma$. Hence $f = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \circ \sigma$ and $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i \circ \sigma\|_E = \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_E \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon$. Consequently $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}}$.

Case 2^0. Let $m(\operatorname{supp} g) = m(\operatorname{supp} f) = \infty$. Then there is a bijective transformation $\sigma : \operatorname{supp} f \to \operatorname{supp} g$ such that $g(\sigma(k)) = f(k)$ for $k \in \operatorname{supp} f$. Set $\tilde{g}_i(k) = g_i(\sigma(k))$ if $k \in \operatorname{supp} f$ and $\tilde{g}_i(k) = 0$ otherwise. Then $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_i$. Moreover for $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(t, \tilde{g}_i) &= m\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{g}_i(k) > t\} = m\{k \in \operatorname{supp} f : g_i(\sigma(k)) > t\} = m\{\sigma^{-1}(j) : g_i(j) > t\} \\ &= m\left(\sigma^{-1}\{j \in \operatorname{supp} g : g_i(j) > t\}\right) = m\{j \in \operatorname{supp} g : g_i(j) > t\} \\ &= m\{j \in \mathbb{N} : g_i(j) > t\} = d(t, g_i). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality for measures follows by the fact that $\operatorname{supp} g_i \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g$. Hence $\tilde{g}_i$ and g_i have the same decreasing rearrangements, and so $\|\tilde{g}_i\|_E = \|g_i\|_E$. Consequently $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{g}_i\|_E = \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_E \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon$. Therefore $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \|g\|_{\widehat{E}}$.

Similarly one can show that $\|g\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \|f\|_{\widehat{E}}$, proving that $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is a normed symmetric sequence space. □

A sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ converges in measure to $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$, if and only if $\mu(t, x - x_n) \to 0$ for all $t > 0$ [11, Lemma 3.1]. For a symmetric normed space E, we have that $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is continuously embedded in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to the norm topology on $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, that is if $\|x_n\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \to 0$, it follows that $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ [7, Proposition 2.2].

In particular we get the analogous result for a normed symmetric space E on I.

Lemma 2.2. *Let E be a normed symmetric space on I. Then for the sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq E$ satisfying $\|f_n\|_E \to 0$ it follows that $f_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$, that is f_n converges to 0 in measure m on I.*

Recall that for any Banach space Z any bounded linear operator $T : X \to Z$ extends with preservation of norm to an operator $\widehat{T} : \widehat{X} \to Z$ [15]. Given a quasi-normed symmetric function (resp. sequence) space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ it follows that $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is also a normed symmetric function (resp. sequence) space [19] (resp. Lemma 2.1). Consequently $\|x\|_{L_1+L_\infty} \le C\|x\|_{\widehat{E}}$ (resp. $\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \le C\|x\|_{\widehat{E}}$) for all $x \in E$ (see [22]), and the inclusion map $id : E \to L_1 + L_\infty$ (resp. $id : E \to \ell_\infty$) has the norm $\|id\| = C$. Therefore there exists an extension of id to $\widehat{id} : \widehat{E} \to L_1 + L_\infty$ (resp. $\widehat{id} : \widehat{E} \to \ell_\infty$) with the same norm, so $\|\widehat{id}(x)\|_{L_1+L_\infty} \le C\|x\|_{\widehat{E}}$ (resp. $\|\widehat{id}(x)\|_{\ell_\infty} \le C\|x\|_{\widehat{E}}$), $x \in \widehat{E}$.

It is known however that $\widehat{id}$ does not have to be an injection. Pietsch in [27] shows an easy example of sequence spaces that this phenomenon occurs. Unfortunately the same applies in the case of symmetric quasi-normed spaces as shown in [10]. The authors constructed there the Orlicz sequence space, whose Banach envelope cannot be naturally treated as a sequence space.

We state below the condition (HC) characterizing quasi-normed symmetric spaces for which the embedding $\widehat{id}$ is injective, and therefore $\widehat{E}$ can be treated as a subspace of $L_1 + L_\infty$ or ℓ_∞. The similar condition appeared in [10] in the context of Orlicz sequence spaces. We will show in Proposition 2.7 that the property (HC) guarantees that $\widehat{E}$ is also symmetric.

Definition 2.3. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric space. We will write that $E \in (HC)$ if for the Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ with $f_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$, it follows that $\|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric space. The inclusion map $id : E \to L_1 + L_\infty$ extends to a continuous linear injection from $\widehat{E}$ into $L_1 + L_\infty$ if and only if $E \in (HC)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $E \in (HC)$. As mentioned above, it remains only to show that $\widehat{id}$ is injective. Let $\widehat{id}(f) = 0$, where $f \in \widehat{E}$. Choose a sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq E$ for which $\|f_n - f\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_{L_1+L_\infty} = \|\widehat{id}(f_n) - \widehat{id}(f)\|_{L_1+L_\infty} \leq C\|f_n - f\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.2, $f_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$. Since $\{f_n\}$ is also Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$ norm, the fact that $E \in (HC)$ implies that $\|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Thus $f = 0$ and $\widehat{id}$ is injective.

For the converse, suppose that $\widehat{id}$ is injective and let $\{f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$ with $f_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$. Let $f \in \widehat{E}$ be such that $\|f_n - f\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Then

$$\|f_n - \widehat{id}(f)\|_{L_1+L_\infty} = \|\widehat{id}(f_n) - \widehat{id}(f)\|_{L_1+L_\infty} \leq C\|f_n - f\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0,$$

and $f_n \xrightarrow{m} \widehat{id}(f)$. Hence $\widehat{id}(f) = 0$ and since $\widehat{id}$ is injective $f = 0$. Consequently $\|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$ and $E \in (HC)$. □

As we see in the next proposition the large class of quasi-normed symmetric spaces satisfies condition (HC).

Proposition 2.5. *If E is an order continuous quasi-normed symmetric space then $E \in (HC)$.*

Proof. Observe first that since $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$, if $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is order continuous then so is $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$. Suppose next that $\{f_n\} \subseteq E$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$, $f_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$ but $\|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}}$ does not converge to 0. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_1 \leq \|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq C_2$ for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. By Proposition 2.5 in [21] there is a sequence $\{g_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint elements in E such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n - g_n\|_{\widehat{E}} < \infty.$$

Note that the construction of the sequence $\{g_n\}$ in the proof of Proposition 2.5 in [21] does not require $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ to be complete.

We have now that $\|f_n - g_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Thus going to a subsequence if necessary, we get a semi-normalized sequence $\{g_n\} \subset E$, that is $C_1' \leq \|g_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq C_2'$, for some $C_1', C_2' > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is also Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Thus, since $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is symmetric, we have

$$C_1' \leq \|g_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \||g_n| + |g_m|\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|g_n - g_m\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Therefore $\|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$ and $E \in (HC)$. □

Note that not only order continuous spaces E satisfy condition (HC). In [10] in Example 5.7 (b) the Orlicz space ℓ_φ is not order continues since φ does not satisfy condition Δ_2 stated in the paper, but still φ fulfills condition 5.5(c) which implies that the extension $\widehat{id}$ is an injection. Thus our main results Theorem 2.17 and Theorem 2.19 are not reduced only to order continuous spaces.

In view of Proposition 2.4, if $E \in (HC)$ then we can identify $\widehat{id}(x)$ with x for any $x \in \widehat{E}$. Thus any $x \in \widehat{E}$ will be treated as a measurable function (resp. sequence) which satisfies $\|x\|_{L_1+L_\infty} \leq C\|x\|_{\widehat{E}}$ (resp. $\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq C\|x\|_{\widehat{E}}$). Observe that in view of Lemma 2.2 if $\|x_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$, $x_n \in \widehat{E}$, then $x_n \xrightarrow{m} 0$.

The next simple observation is included here for easy reference.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric space with $E \in (HC)$. If $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ and $f_n \xrightarrow{m} f$ for some $f \in L_0(I)$, then $f \in \widehat{E}$ and $\|f - f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$.*

Proof. Since $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ there is $g \in \widehat{E}$ such that $\|f_n - g\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. We have then by Lemma 2.2 that $f_n \xrightarrow{m} g$ and thus $f = g \in \widehat{E}$. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric space on I, where $E \subseteq c_0$ whenever $I = \mathbb{N}$. Then $\widehat{E}$ is a Banach symmetric space.*

Proof. We will show first that $\widehat{E}$ is an ideal in $L_0(I)$ that is if $|f| \leq |g|$ a.e., where $g \in \widehat{E}$ and $f \in L_0(I)$ it follows that $g \in \widehat{E}$ and $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|g\|_{\widehat{E}}$.

Choose a sequence $\{g_n\} \subseteq E$ such that $\|g_n - g\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Consequently, $g_n \xrightarrow{m} g$ and $|g_n| \xrightarrow{m} |g|$. Using the fact that $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is symmetric ([19] for function spaces or Lemma 2.1 for sequence spaces) and $||g_n| - |g_m|| \leq |g_n - g_m|$, $\{|g_n|\}$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Hence by Lemma 2.6, $|g| \in \widehat{E}$ and $\| |g_n| - |g| \|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Thus $\|g\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|g_n\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \| |g_n| \|_{\widehat{E}} = \| |g| \|_{\widehat{E}}$. So we showed that $\|g\|_{\widehat{E}} = \| |g| \|_{\widehat{E}}$ for $g \in \widehat{E}$.

Now set $g_n' = |g_n| \wedge |g|$. Then in view of $0 \leq g_n' \leq |g_n|$ and $|g_n| \in E$, we have that $g_n' \in E$. It is easy to check that $0 \leq |g| - g_n' \leq ||g| - |g_n||$ and $|g_n' - g_m'| \leq ||g_n| - |g_m||$. Hence $g_n' \xrightarrow{m} |g|$ and $\{g_n'\}$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Define next $f_n = |f| \wedge g_n'$. Then $0 \leq f_n \leq g_n'$ and $f_n \in E$. We have that for any $t \in I$,

$$0 \leq (|f| - f_n)(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |f|(t) \leq g_n'(t) \\ |f|(t) - g_n'(t) & \text{if } |f|(t) > g_n'(t) \end{cases} \leq |g|(t) - g_n'(t).$$

Hence $f_n \xrightarrow{m} |f|$. Furthermore $|f_n - f_m| \leq |g_n' - g_m'|$, which implies that $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$. By Lemma 2.6, $|f| \in \widehat{E}$ and $\|f_n - |f|\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Consider next functions $f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f)$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(f)(t) = 1$ whenever $f(t) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(f)(t) = -1$ otherwise. Then $|f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f)| = |f_n|$, where $f_n \in E$, and therefore $f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f) \in E$. Moreover $f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f) \xrightarrow{m} |f| \operatorname{sgn}(f) = f$. Since $\{f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f)\}$ is also Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}}$, it follows that $f \in \widehat{E}$ and $\|f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f) - f\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$.

Finally, $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n \operatorname{sgn}(f)\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \| |g_n| \|_{\widehat{E}} = \| |g| \|_{\widehat{E}} = \|g\|_{\widehat{E}}$.

It remains now to show that $(\widehat{E}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is symmetric, that is $f \in \widehat{E}$ if and only if $\mu(f) \in \widehat{E}$, and $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|\mu(f)\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Suppose first that $f \in \widehat{E}$. By the first part $\widehat{E}$ is an ideal in $L_0(I)$, and so $f \in \widehat{E}$ if and only if $|f| \in \widehat{E}$ and $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \| |f| \|_{\widehat{E}}$. Hence we will assume that $f \geq 0$. Let $\{f_n\} \subseteq E$ be such that $\|f - f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$, and so $f_n \xrightarrow{m} f$.

There is a measure preserving, injective and onto transformation $\sigma : A \to I$, such that $\mu(f) \circ \sigma = f\chi_A$, where $A = \{t : f(t) > \mu(\infty, f)\}$ if $m\{t : f(t) > \mu(\infty, f)\} = \infty$, or $A = \{t : f(t) \geq \mu(\infty, f)\}$ if $m\{t : f(t) > \mu(\infty, f)\} < \infty$ (compare Therorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.6 in Chapter II [2], see also [8]).

Set $\tilde{f_n} = |f_n|\chi_A$. Then

$$\|\tilde{f_n} - f\chi_A\|_{\widehat{E}} = \||\tilde{f_n} - f\chi_A|\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \| |f_n - f| \|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{f_n} \xrightarrow{m} f\chi_A$ and since σ^{-1} is also measure preserving $\tilde{f_n} \circ \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{m} f\chi_A \circ \sigma^{-1} = \mu(f)$. Note next that by symmetry of $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$, it follows that $\tilde{f_n} \circ \sigma^{-1} \in E$ and $\|\tilde{f_n} \circ \sigma^{-1} - \tilde{f_m} \circ \sigma^{-1}\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.6,

$$\mu(f) \in \widehat{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{f_n} \circ \sigma^{-1} - \mu(f)\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly one can show that if $\mu(f) \in \widehat{E}$ then $f \in \widehat{E}$.

Observe that if $\mu(\infty, f) = 0$, in particular if $E \subseteq c_0$ is a sequence space, then $A = \operatorname{supp} f$ and so $f\chi_A = f$. Using the symmetry of $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ it follows that $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu(\tilde{f}_n)\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu(\tilde{f}_n \circ \sigma^{-1})\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{f}_n \circ \sigma^{-1}\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|\mu(f)\|_{\widehat{E}}$.

Consider now the case when E is a function space and $\mu(\infty, f) > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f and $f\chi_A$ are equimeasurable, applying [22, Ch. II, Theorem 2.1] there exist a measure preserving transformation σ_1 on $[0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$, and a function $g \in L_0(I)$ satisfying $|g| \leq 1$ a.e. such that

$$\|f - g \cdot (f\chi_A \circ \sigma_1)\|_{L_1 \cap L_\infty} \leq \epsilon/K,$$

where the constant $K > 0$ comes from the inequality $\|h\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq K\|h\|_{L_1\cap L_\infty}$, $h \in L_1 \cap L_\infty$. Hence $\|f - g \cdot (f\chi_A \circ \sigma_1)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \epsilon$. By the similar argument applied above to show (2.1) one can prove that $\|\tilde{f}_n \circ \sigma_1 - f\chi_A \circ \sigma_1\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. This combined with the symmetry of $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ and the fact that $(\widehat{E}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is an ideal, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} &\leq \|f - g(f\chi_A \circ \sigma_1)\|_{\widehat{E}} + \|g(f\chi_A \circ \sigma_1)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \epsilon + \|f\chi_A \circ \sigma_1\|_{\widehat{E}} = \epsilon + \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{f}_n \circ \sigma_1\|_{\widehat{E}} \\ &= \epsilon + \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\widehat{E}} = \epsilon + \|f\chi_A\|_{\widehat{E}},\end{aligned}$$

and so $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|f\chi_A\|_{\widehat{E}}$. Consequently, $\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|f\chi_A\|_{\widehat{E}}$ and by (2.1) we get

$$\|f\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\widehat{E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{f}_n \circ \sigma^{-1}\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|\mu(f)\|_{\widehat{E}}.$$

□

After proving the necessary results in function spaces we are ready to investigate the spaces of measurable operators.

The first result guarantees monotonicity of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$ on $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let E be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then for any $0 \leq x \leq y \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we have $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq x \leq y \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By [25, Proposition 4.5] there exists an operator $z \in \mathcal{M}$, $\|z\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 1$, such that $\sqrt{x} = \sqrt{y}z$. Hence $x = (\sqrt{x})^*\sqrt{x} = z^*(\sqrt{y})^*\sqrt{y}z = z^*yz$. Take $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \leq \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} + \epsilon.$$

Then $x = z^*yz = \sum_{i=1}^n z^*y_iz$, where $\mu(z^*y_iz) \leq \|z\|_{\mathcal{M}}\|z^*\|_{\mathcal{M}}\mu(y_i) \leq \mu(y_i)$. Hence

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|z^*y_iz\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \leq \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} + \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary the claim follows. □

The first main result computing the norm $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$ for $x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ does not require any additional assumption on E.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a σ-finite trace τ and E be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then for every $x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$,*

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}}.$$

Proof. Observe first that since $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}})$ is a symmetric normed space [19], given $x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the functional $|||x||| = \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}}$ is a norm on $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by [17, Theorem 8.7]. Moreover, for any $x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we have that

$$|||x||| = \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|\mu(x)\|_E = \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$ is the largest seminorm satisfying (2.2), it follows that

$$|||x||| = \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \quad \text{for all } x \in E(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

For the converse let $x \in E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. We first observe that $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|\,|x|\,\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$. To see this let $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ for some $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. By the polar decomposition of x there exists a partial isometry u such that $x = u\,|x|$ or equivalently $u^*x = |x|$. We have that $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^n u^*x_i$ and so

$$\|\,|x|\,\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|u^*x_i\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}.$$

Consequently, $\|\,|x|\,\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$. Similarly one can show the opposite inequality.

Therefore we will assume without loss of generality that $x \geq 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq E$ such that

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_E \leq \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon. \tag{2.3}$$

By Corollary 1.4 there exists a unital $*$-isomorphism V acting from the $*$-algebra $S\left([0,\tau(\mathbf{1})\right),m)$ into $S(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ with $\mathcal{N} \subseteq q\mathcal{M}q$, such that

$$V\mu(x) = xr + \mu(\infty,x)V\chi_{[\tau(r),\infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(Vf) = \mu(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in S\left([0,\tau(\mathbf{1})),m\right),$$

where $r = e^x(\mu(\infty,x),\infty)$. The projection $q = \mathbf{1}$ if $\tau(r) < \infty$ and $q = r$ otherwise.

Note that $\mu(t,x) = \mu(\sum_{i=1}^n t/n, \sum_{i=1}^n f_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(t/n, f_i)$, and so $\mu(\infty,x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\infty,f_i)$. Therefore we can select constants $C_i \geq 0$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n C_i = \mu(\infty,x)$ and $C_i \leq \mu(\infty,f_i)$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n$.

We have $0 \leq xr \leq V\mu(x)$ and $0 \leq xr = r(xr)r \leq rV\mu(x)r$. Hence in view of (2.3),

$$0 \leq x = xr + xr^\perp \leq rV\mu(x)r + \mu(\infty,x)r^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(rVf_ir + C_ir^\perp\right).$$

Since $r = s(xr)$, and $xr \in S(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ by Corollary 1.4, we have that $r \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence there is $A \subseteq [0,\tau(\mathbf{1}))$ such that $V\chi_A = r$. Observe that $0 \leq rVf_ir + C_ir^\perp \leq V(f_i\chi_A) + \mu(\infty,f_i)V\chi_{A^c} = V(f_i\chi_A + \mu(\infty,f_i)\chi_{A^c})$, and so $\mu(rVf_ir + C_ir^\perp) \leq \mu(f_i\chi_A + \mu(\infty,f_i)\chi_{A^c}) \leq \mu(f_i)$. Therefore by Proposition 2.8,

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \|rV\mu(x)r + \mu(\infty,x)r^\perp\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|rVf_ir + C_ir^\perp\|_{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_E \leq \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary the claim follows.

□

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a σ-finite trace τ and $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then*

$$\left(\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}\right) \subseteq \left(\widehat{E}\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right), \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}\right),$$

and for all $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}.$$

Consequently, the embedding of $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ into $S\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right)$ is continuous with respect to the norm topology on $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, $\widehat{E}$ is asymmetric Banach function space, and so $\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is a Banach space [17]. Since $E \subseteq \widehat{E}$ we have that $E(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.9 we have that $\|x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$ for all $x \in E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Thus completion of $E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$ is the same as the closure of $E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ in $\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Consequently,

$$\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \subseteq \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}.$$

The second part follows from the well known fact that since $\widehat{E}$ is a Banach symmetric space then $\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is continuously embedded into $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ [7, Proposition 2.2]. □

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that τ is a σ-finite trace on a non-atomic von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and $E \in (HC)$ is a quasi-normed symmetric function space. If $\|x_n - x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \to 0$ for $x \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\{x_n\} \subseteq \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ then $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $\|x_n - x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0$.*

Proof. Let $\|x_n - x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \to 0$, where $x \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\{x_n\} \subseteq \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Then $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ and by Proposition 2.10, $\|x_n - x_m\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|x_n - x_m\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$, $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and there is $z \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ such that $\|z - x_n\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0$. Again by Proposition 2.10, $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} z$, and so $z = x$. Consequently, $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $\|x_n - x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0$. □

We observe next that $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ is an ideal in $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that τ is a σ-finite trace on a non-atomic von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and $E \in (HC)$ is a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Let $x, y \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with $0 \le x \le y$ and $y \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Then $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \le \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$.*

Proof. Notice first by Proposition 2.10 we have that $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \subseteq S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Let $x \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $y \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $0 \le x \le y$. As noted in the proof of Proposition 2.8 there exists $z \in \mathcal{M}$, $\|z\|_{\mathcal{M}} \le 1$, such that $x = z^* y z$. Let $\{y_n\} \subseteq E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be such that $\|y - y_n\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0$. By Proposition 2.10,

$$\|y - y_n\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|y - y_n\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0.$$

We have $z^* y_n z \in E(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subset \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $y \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ by Proposition 2.10. Thus $x = z^* y z \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and

$$\|x - z^* y_n z\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|\mu\left(z^*(y - y_n)z\right)\|_{\widehat{E}} \le \|z\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|z^*\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|y - y_n\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \to 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.11, $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. The norm inequality follows instantly by Proposition 2.10,

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \le \|y\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}.$$

□

Lemma 2.13. *Let $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric function space, and $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a σ-finite trace τ. Suppose that V is a $*$-isomorphism from $S([0,\tau(\mathbf{1})),m)$ into $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\mu(Vf) = \mu(f)$ for all $f \in S([0,\tau(\mathbf{1})),m)$. If $x \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ then $V\mu(x) \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ that is $x \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\mu(x) \in \widehat{E}$. Then there is $\{f_n\} \subseteq E$ such that $\|\mu(x) - f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Therefore

$$\|V\mu(x) - Vf_n\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|\mu(V\mu(x) - Vf_n)\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|\mu(\mu(x) - f_n)\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|\mu(x) - f_n\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0.$$

Moreover, $Vf_n \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\mu(Vf_n) = \mu(f_n) \in E$. Therefore $\{Vf_n\} \subseteq E(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$, and by Lemma 2.11 it follows that $V\mu(x) \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$.

□

Lemma 2.14. *Let τ be a σ-finite trace on a non-atomic von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ if and only if $|x| \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$, and $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \| |x| \|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $\{x_n\} \subseteq E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be such that $\|x - x_n\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0$. Then by Proposition 2.10, $x, x_n \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x, $u^*x_n \in E(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $|x| = u^*x \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. By Proposition 2.10,

$$\||x| - u^*x_n\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|u^*x - u^*x_n\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \leq \|x - x_n\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \|x - x_n\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \to 0.$$

Since $\{u^*x_n\} \subseteq E(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$, Lemma 2.11 implies that $|x| \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. The converse can be proved similarly.

By Proposition 2.10 we have the equality of norms, since $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \| |x| \|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)} = \| |x| \|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$. □

Proposition 2.15. *Let τ be a σ-finite trace on a non-atomic von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then for $x, y \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, with $y \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$, and $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ we have that $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and $\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} \leq \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.10, $\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)} \subseteq \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Let $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$, where $x, y \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $y \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Since $\mu(x) = \mu(|x|)$ and $\mu(y) = \mu(|y|)$, Lemma 2.14 allows to assume that $x, y \geq 0$.

Let $r = e^{|x|}(\mu(\infty, x), \infty)$ and q be like in Corollary 1.4. That is if $\tau(r) < \infty$ then $q = \mathbf{1}$, and if $\tau(r) = \infty$ then $q = r$. By Corollary 1.4, there is a $*$-isomorphism V from $S([0, \tau(\mathbf{1})), m)$ into $S(\mathcal{N},\tau) \subseteq qS(\mathcal{M},\tau)q$, preserving a decreasing rearrangement, and such that

$$V\mu(x) = xr + \mu(\infty, x)V\chi_{[\tau(r),\infty)}.$$

Since $*$-isomorphism preserves the order, $0 \leq xr \leq V\mu(x) \leq V\mu(y)$. By Lemma 2.13 and by $y \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ we have that $V\mu(y) \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Thus Lemma 2.12 implies that $xr \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$.

Case 1. If $\mu(\infty, x) = 0$ then $r = e^{|x|}(0,\infty) = s(x)$ and $x = xr \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$.

Case 2. If $\mu(\infty, x) > 0$, then $\tau(\mathbf{1}) = \infty$ and since $\mu(x) \in \widehat{E}$ we must have $\chi_{[0,\infty)} \in \widehat{E}$. Hence $\mathbf{1} \in \widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Let V_1 be a $*$-isomorphism from $S([0,\infty), m)$ to $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $V_1\chi_{[0,\infty)} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mu(V_1 f) = \mu(f)$ for all $f \in S([0,\infty), m)$. Such an isomorphism can be chosen by applying Proposition 1.3 to an operator $z \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with $\tau(s(z)) < \infty$. Then by Lemma 2.13, $\mathbf{1} = V_1\chi_{[0,\infty)} \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Therefore in view of $0 \leq r^\perp \leq \mathbf{1}$ and by Lemma 2.12, $r^\perp \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Since $0 \leq xr^\perp \leq \mu(\infty, x)r^\perp$, it follows that $xr^\perp \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. Consequently, $x = xr + xr^\perp \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$.

Hence $x, y \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$ and by Proposition 2.10 and the fact that $\widehat{E}$ is symmetric we have

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|\mu(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|\mu(y)\|_{\widehat{E}} = \|y\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}.$$

□

We are ready now for the the counterpart of Proposition 2.10.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a σ-finite trace τ and $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then*

$$\left(\widehat{E}\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right), \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}\right) \subseteq \left(\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}\right),$$

and for all $x \in \widehat{E}\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right)$,

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}} = \|x\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \widehat{E}\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right)$. By Lemma 2.13, $V\mu(x) \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$, where V is a $*$ - isomorphism from $S\left([0,\tau(\mathbf{1})),m\right)$ into $S\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right)$ such that $\mu(Vf) = \mu(f)$ for all $f \in S\left([0,\tau(\mathbf{1})),m\right)$. Since operators x and $V\mu(x)$ have the same singular value function, in view of Proposition 2.15, $x \in \widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}$. The equality of norms follows now instantly by Proposition 2.10. □

As a consequence of Propositions 2.10 and 2.16 we state our main result.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic von Neumann algebra with a σ-finite trace τ and $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric function space. Then*

$$\left(\widehat{E}\left(\mathcal{M},\tau\right), \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E}(\mathcal{M},\tau)}\right) = \left(\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{E(\mathcal{M},\tau)}}\right)$$

with equality of norms.

We give now the variant of Theorem 2.9 for the unitary matrix spaces C_E. Recall that so far for the unitary matrix spaces the result has been obtained in [24] under additional assumption that E is weakly-separable.

Theorem 2.18. *Let E be a quasi-normed symmetric sequence space such that $E \subseteq c_0$. Then for all $x \in C_E$,*

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}} = \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}}.$$

Proof. Observe first that in view of Proposition 2.1 and [17, Corollary 8.8], the functional $|||x||| = \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}}$, $x \in C_E$, is a norm on C_E. Moreover, $|||x||| = \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|S(x)\|_E = \|x\|_{C_E}$, $x \in C_E$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{C_E}}$ is the strongest semi-norm on C_E for which the latter is true, it follows that for all $x \in C_E$ we have $\|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \leq \|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}}$.

In order to show the converse inequality let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq E$ be such that $S(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_E \leq \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon$. By [1, Proposition 1.1] there is a $*$-isomorphism $V : E \to C_E$ such that $VS(x) = x$ and $S(Va) = \mu(a)$ for all $a \in E$. Therefore V is an isometry on E. Hence $x = VS(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n Va_i$ and $\|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|Va_i\|_{C_E} = \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_E \leq \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} + \epsilon$. Consequently, $\|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}} \leq \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}}$ and combining with the previous inequality we get

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}} = \|S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \quad \text{for all } x \in C_E. \tag{2.5}$$

□

Theorem 2.19. *Let $E \in (HC)$ be a quasi-normed symmetric sequence space such that $E \subseteq c_0$. Then*

$$(\widehat{C_E}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{C_E}}) = (C_{\widehat{E}}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}})$$

with equality of norms.

Proof. By Theorem 2.18, we have that $(C_E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{C_E}}) = (C_E, \|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}}) \subseteq (C_{\widehat{E}}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}})$, and consequently

$$(\widehat{C_E}, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{C_E}}) = \overline{(C_E, \|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}})}^{\|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}}} \subseteq (C_{\widehat{E}}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}}) \tag{2.6}$$

with $\|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}} = \|x\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}}$ for all $x \in \widehat{C_E}$.

To show the opposite inclusion, let $x \in C_{\widehat{E}}$, that is $x \in K(H)$ and $S(x) \in \widehat{E}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\} \subseteq E$ such that $\|a_n - S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0$. Again by Arazy's result, let $V : \widehat{E} \to C_{\widehat{E}}$ be an isometry such that $VS(x) = x$ and $S(Va) = \mu(a)$ for all $a \in \widehat{E}$. In particular we have that V acts from E into C_E and so $Va_n \in C_E$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\|Va_n - x\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}} = \|Va_n - VS(x)\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}} = \|a_n - S(x)\|_{\widehat{E}} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Hence and in view of (2.5) we have that

$$\|Va_n - Va_m\|_{\widehat{C_E}} = \|Va_n - Va_m\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n, m \to \infty,$$

and so $\{Va_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{C_E}}$. Therefore there is $z \in \widehat{C_E}$ such that $\|Va_n - z\|_{\widehat{C_E}} \to 0$. Now by (2.6), $\|Va_n - z\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}} = \|Va_n - z\|_{\widehat{C_E}} \to 0$. Hence $x = z \in \widehat{C_E}$ and

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{C_E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|Va_n\|_{\widehat{C_E}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|Va_n\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}} = \|x\|_{C_{\widehat{E}}}.$$

□

We conclude the paper with the corollaries on Banach envelopes of noncommutative $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces and Schatten classes $C_p = \{x \in K(H) : S(x) \in \ell_p\}$, $0 < p < 1$. They follow from Theorems 2.17 and 2.19, as the spaces L_p and ℓ_p, $0 < p < 1$, are order continuous and therefore satisfying (HC).

Corollary 2.20. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a non-atomic, semifinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, σ-finite trace τ. For $0 < p < 1$ we have that*

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\widehat{\ } = \{0\}.$$

Corollary 2.21. [24] *For $0 < p < 1$ it follows that*

$$\widehat{C_p} = C_1 \text{ with } \|x\|_{\widehat{C_p}} = \|S(x)\|_1,\ x \in \widehat{C_p}.$$

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the reviewer for his/hers insightful and detailed report of the paper, which allowed us to improve significantly the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. J. Arazy, *On the geometry of the unit ball of unitary matrix spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **4** (1981), no. 2, 151–171.
2. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **129**, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
3. M. Cwikel and C. Fefferman, *Maximal seminorms in Weak L^1*, Studia Mathematica, **69** (1980), 149–154.
4. M. Cwikel and C. Fefferman, *The canonical seminorm on Weak L^1*, Studia Mathematica, **78** (1984), 275–278.
5. M. M. Czerwińska and A. Kamińska, *k-extreme points in symmetric spaces of measurable operators.* Integral Equations Operator Theory, **82** (2015), 189–222.
6. P. G. Dodds, T. K. Dodds, and B. de Pagter, *Fully symmetric operator spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **15** (1992), no. 6, 942–972.
7. P. G. Dodds, T. K. Dodds, and B. De Pagter, *Noncommutative Köthe duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), no. 2, 717–750.
8. P. G. Dodds, B. De Pagter and F. A. Sukochev, *Theory of Noncommutative Integration*, unpublished monograph; to appear.
9. L. Drewnowski, *Compact operators on Musielak-Orlicz spaces*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **27** (1988), no. 2, 225–232.
10. L. Drewnowski, M. Nawrocki, *On the Mackey topology of Orlicz sequence spaces*, Arch. Math. **39** (1982), 59–68.
11. T. Fack and H. Kosaki, *Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. **123** (1986), no. 2, 269–300.
12. N. J. Kalton, *Compact and strictly singular operators on Orlicz spaces*, Israel J. Math. **26**, no. 2, (1977), 126–136.

13. N. J. Kalton, *Orlicz sequence spaces without local convexity*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **81**, no. 2, (1977), 253–277.
14. N. J. Kalton, *The three space problem for locally bounded F-spaces*, Compositio Math. **37** (1978), no. 3, 243–276.
15. N. J. Kalton, *Banach envelopes of nonlocally convex spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **38**, no. 1, (1986), 65–86.
16. N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck, and J. W. Roberts, *An F-space Sampler,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **89**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
17. N. J. Kalton and F. A. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 81–121.
18. A. Kamińska and P.K. Lin, *Banach envelopes of p-Banach lattices,* $0 < p < 1$*, and Cesáro spaces*. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 50 (2014), no. 2, 297–306.
19. A. Kamińska and M. Mastyło, *Abstract duality Sawyers formula and its applications*, Monatsh. Math **151** (2007), 223–245.
20. A. Kamińska and Y. Raynaud, *New formulas for decreasing rearrangements and a class of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **27** (2014), no. 2, 587–621.
21. A. Kamińska and Y. Raynaud, *Isomorphic copies in the lattice E and its symmetrization $E^{(*)}$ with applications to Orlicz-Lorentz spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 1, 271–331.
22. S. G. Kreĭn, Yu. Ī. Petunīn, and E. M. Semënov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Transl. Math. Monogr. **54**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982.
23. S. Lord, F. Sukochev, and D. Zanin, *Singular Traces, Theory and Applications*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **46**, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.
24. M. Nawrocki, *Remarks on duals of Schatten ideals S_X*. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **20** (1992), 3–7.
25. B. de Pagter, *Non-commutative Banach function spaces*, Positivity, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007, 197–227.
26. J. Peetre, *Remark on the dual of an interpolation space,* Math. Scand. **34** (1974), 124–128.
27. A. Pietsch, *About the Banach envelope of $\ell_{1,\infty}$*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **22** (2009), no. 1, 209–226.
28. J. H. Shapiro, *Remarks on F-spaces of analytic functions,* Banach spaces of analytic functions (Proc. Pelczynski Conf., Kent State Univ., Kent, Ohio, 1976), 107–124. Lecture Notes in Math. **604**, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
29. F. Sukochev, *Completeness of quasi-normed symmetric operator spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **25** (2014), no. 2, 376–388.
30. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer, New York, 1979.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224
E-mail address: m.czerwinska@unf.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152
E-mail address: kaminska@memphis.edu